Panel 4: Key Intrapersonal Competencies Self-regulation of Science Learning in the Context of Educational Game Creation: A Study of Middle School Students with Learning Disabilities

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine Symposium on Assessing Hard-to-Measure Cognitive, Intrapersonal and Interpersonal Competencies

Washington, DC; December 16, 2015

 (\mathcal{B})

Sheri Berkeley George Mason University

 (\mathcal{R})



This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number: DRL-1420448. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.





Self-Regulated Learning Framework (Social Cognitive Theory)





Participant Selection: Students with Learning Disabilities



- Although highly capable, limited numbers of students with learning disabilities (LD) are pursuing careers in STEM fields, even though many work-related opportunities are available to these individuals (Basham & Marino, 2013).
- Because acquisition, retention, and demonstration of science knowledge can pose multiple challenges for students with LD, researchers have noted a critical need to foster students' ability to self-regulate their own learning (e.g., Brigham, Scruggs, & Mastropieri, 2011).

- Students with LD are an ideal sample for this type of work precisely because
 - these students
 characteristically display
 inappropriate causal
 attributions for learning

(e.g., Baird, Scott, Dearing, Hamill, 2009; Tabassam & Grainger, 2001), and

 these attributions are malleable (e.g., Berkeley, Mastropieri, & Scruggs, 2011; Miranda, Villaescusa, & Vidal-Abarca, 1997).



From: Annetta (2008)











Limitations in the Current Research Base



Existing self-regulated learning (SRL) work primarily focuses on well-defined and/or discreet tasks, while less is known about the role of self-regulation in complex, long-term learning tasks (Schunk & Zimmerman, 2003; Bernacki, Nokes-Malach, & Aleven, 2015).



From: Linnenbrink & Pintrich (2003)



Issue #1: What is really being measured?



so Are we

measuring selfregulation of science **learning** (rather than strategy use or some other cognitive behavior)?





Issue #2: Are methods for measuring self-regulation constructs robust?



Mow do we measure self-regulation in a meaningful way?

- When students are not reflective (or are not accurate in their reflections)?
- Using data collection procedures that do not influence the self-regulation process?
- When goals and related tasks are complex and variable?





Sheri Berkeley sberkele@gmu.edu

Small group focus:

Self-regulation, motivational beliefs, and other factors affecting student learning and persistence in science and STEM